UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,816	12/14/2006	Tomaz Mesar	33684-US-PCT 64655.US	1649
	7590 10/11/201 - LUEDEKA, NEELY	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1871			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
Knoxville, TN 37901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/598,816	MESAR ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		BRENDA COLEMAN	1624				
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
115	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	oril 2011					
		action is non-final.					
	· —		set forth during the	e interview on			
U)L	An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.						
Δ۱Γ	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
'/L	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Dienos							
-	sition of Claims						
6)[7)[2 8)[5) Claim(s) 1-6,22,24,26,27,35 and 36 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-6,22,24,26,27,35 and 36 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applic	ation Papers						
 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachm	ent(s)						
1)	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) sper No(s)/Mail Date 4/1/2011.	(PTO-413) tte atent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Claims 1-6, 22, 24, 26, 27, 35 and 36 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicants' amendment filed April 1, 2011. Claims 1-4, 6, 22, 24, 35 and 36 have been amended.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendments filed April 1, 2011 have been fully considered with the following effect:

- 1. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 102, anticipation rejection labeled paragraph 6) in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 2. The applicants' amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejections labeled paragraph 7a), b), c), d), e), f), g), h), i), j), k), l) and m) in the last office action, which are hereby **withdrawn**.

In view of the amendment dated April 1, 2011, the following new grounds of rejection apply:

Application/Control Number: 10/598,816 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-6, 22, 24, 26, 27, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The following reasons apply:
 - a. The amendment to claim 1 where step iii) includes contacting the adjusted pH "aqueous solution" is not described in the specification with respect to the purification of olanzapine;
 - b. The amendment to claim 22 where step c) is to **transforming** the olanzapine acid addition salt to olanzapine, wherein the **transformation** comprises is not described in the specification with respect to the preparation of olanzapine;
 - c. The amendment to claim 22 where step i) is to dissolving the acid addition salt of olanzapine in water to form an "aqueous solution" is not described in the specification with respect to the preparation of olanzapine;

Application/Control Number: 10/598,816 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

d. The amendment to claim 22 where step ii) where adjusting the pH of the "aqueous solution" is not described in the specification with respect to the preparation of olanzapine;

- e. The amendment to claim 22 where step iii) is to contacting the adjusted pH "aqueous solution" with an organic solvent to form a water phase and an organic phase is not described in the specification with respect to the preparation of olanzapine;
- f. The amendment to claim 22 wherein the olanzapine crystals include less than 0.5% of piperazine 1,4-bis-4-yl-(2-methyl)-10H-thieno-[2,3-b][1,5]benzodiazepine is not described in the specification with respect to the preparation of olanzapine from desmethylolanzapine;
- g. The amendment to claim 24 where step b) is to diluting the reaction mixture with water and **acidifying the mixture**, **as necessary**, with and acid is not described in the specification with respect to the preparation of olanzapine;
- h. The amendment to claim 24 where step c) is to adding a chlorinated organic solvent to the diluted reaction mixture to induce formation of **separate**acidic water and organic solvent phases..... is not described in the specification with respect to the prerparation of olanzapine;
- i. The amendment to claim 24 where step e) is to **neutralizing** the water phase and extracting olanzapine is not describe in the specification with respect to the preparation of olanzapine; and

Application/Control Number: 10/598,816 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

j. The amendment to claim 24 where step j) is to **transforming** the olanzapine acid addition salt to olanzapine is not described in the specification with respect to the preparation of olanzapine in the form of an acid addition salt. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRENDA COLEMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.